

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of California

United States of America

v.

RAYMOND LEE HILL

Date of Previous Judgment: 10/29/2004
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Case No: 2:04CR00133-01

USM No: 15048-097

David Porter, Assistant Federal Defender

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 188 mo. & 23 days months is reduced to 149 mo. & 23 days.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 35

Criminal History Category: V

Previous Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months

Amended Offense Level: 33

Criminal History Category: V

Amended Guideline Range: 210 to 262 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☒ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain):


III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 10/2 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 11/20/09

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)


Judge's signature

Garland E. Burrell, Jr. U.S. District Judge
Printed name and title